

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/647,050		XING, LISHENG	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	RONALD BAUM		2139	

All Participants:

(1) RONALD BAUM.

(2) KATHARINA SCHUSTER.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed with Ms. Schuster how the phrase 'capable of' in the context of claim 1 being a method claim needs to be changed. She agreed to the examiner amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)